

<p><b>Force of nature</b></p> <p><b>Discard any 2 cards</b></p> <p><b>Explanation</b> The team go out for a long lunch and have many philosophical discussions that lead to surprising changes.</p> <p>Discard any two cards from your hand now, or just throw this one back.</p>	<p><b>Force of nature</b></p> <p><b>Discard any 2 cards</b></p> <p><b>Explanation</b> A senior executive takes an interest in the team's progress.</p> <p>Discard any two cards from your hand now, or just throw this one back.</p>	<p><b>Force of nature</b></p> <p><b>Discard any 2 cards</b></p> <p><b>Explanation</b> Key team members head off to a conference and return with new ideas.</p> <p>Discard any two cards from your hand now, or just throw this one back.</p>	<p><b>Force of nature</b></p> <p><b>Discard any 2 cards</b></p> <p><b>Explanation</b> A new project manager joins the team and makes some changes.</p> <p>Discard any two cards from your hand now, or just throw this one back.</p>
<p><b>Restructure</b></p> <p><b>Swap 2 cards with any other player</b></p> <p><b>Explanation</b> The teams face a restructure. Give any 2 of your cards to a player of your choice and take 2 random cards from them in return.</p> <p>This card must be played immediately</p>	<p><b>Restructure</b></p> <p><b>Swap 2 cards with any other player</b></p> <p><b>Explanation</b> The teams face a restructure. Give any 2 of your cards to a player of your choice and take 2 random cards from them in return.</p> <p>This card must be played immediately</p>	<p><b>Restructure</b></p> <p><b>Swap 1 card with any other player</b></p> <p><b>Explanation</b> The teams face a restructure. Give any 1 of your cards to a player of your choice and take 1 random card from them in return.</p> <p>This card must be played immediately</p>	<p><b>Restructure</b></p> <p><b>Swap 1 card with any other player</b></p> <p><b>Explanation</b> The teams face a restructure. Give any 1 of your cards to a player of your choice and take 1 random card from them in return.</p> <p>This card must be played immediately</p>

<p><b>Agile coach</b></p> <p><b>+3 Points</b></p> <p><b>Explanation</b> The team gain access to an experienced agile veteran.</p> <p>Having the coach there really helps the team understand the impact of the decisions they make while experimenting with new approaches.</p>	<p><b>Retrospectives</b></p> <p><b>+3 Points</b></p> <p><b>Explanation</b> The team make mistakes and also find better ways of doing things as they go along.</p> <p>They regularly discuss and implement both trivial and significant changes.</p>	<p><b>Different strengths</b></p> <p><b>+3 Points</b></p> <p><b>Explanation</b> Rather than just adopt the technical practices the team look at their own strengths, weaknesses and communication styles.</p> <p>They learn to build on each individuals strengths and also to deal with all the other annoying people in the team.</p>	<p><b>Visible architecture</b></p> <p><b>+3 Points</b></p> <p><b>Explanation</b> The team continuously review and question the architecture of the system as it evolves.</p> <p>Seeing the impact of their decisions, combined with detailed analysis where it is needed leads to a better understood and better built system.</p>
<p><b>Agile zealot</b></p> <p><b>-3 Points</b></p> <p><b>Explanation</b> The team thought they were getting a new coach, but instead they got a zealot.</p> <p>The zealot insists on everything being done in accordance with the One True Path of Agile, significantly distracting the team from learning and adding value.</p>	<p><b>Code optimiser</b></p> <p><b>-3 Points</b></p> <p><b>Explanation</b> What began as a good idea has been corrupted for evil.</p> <p>Rather than solving customer problems the team are using customers to speed up the writing of beautiful code.</p>	<p><b>Non-non-functional</b></p> <p><b>-3 Points</b></p> <p><b>Explanation</b> In their race to embrace stories and other exciting practices, the team completely forget about non-functional requirements.</p> <p>They are building worse products a lot more efficiently now.</p>	<p><b>Random architecture</b></p> <p><b>-3 Points</b></p> <p><b>Explanation</b> The team adopt the mantra of “no upfront architecture”.</p> <p>Fortunately the system evolves its own architecture automatically as it evolves. Unfortunately the architecture that evolves without guidance is not pretty.</p>

<p><b>Decision rights</b></p> <p><b>+2 Points</b></p> <p><b>Explanation</b> The business representatives know what decisions they should make and when to check with others</p> <p>This and the fact that the team know where they can innovate and where they need to stick to the plan is really speeding up development.</p>	<p><b>Stand ups</b></p> <p><b>+2 Points</b></p> <p><b>Explanation</b> The team are having a quick meeting every day to see who needs help and who is travelling well.</p> <p>The meetings cost the team 15 minutes per day but save the team an hour a day in avoiding confusion.</p>	<p><b>Automated testing</b></p> <p><b>+2 Points</b></p> <p><b>Explanation</b> The team are sick of all the manual testing they have to do.</p> <p>So the team work on a joint test strategy and find opportunities to automate a lot of repetitive testing.</p>	<p><b>Story walls</b></p> <p><b>+2 Points</b></p> <p><b>Explanation</b> The team have a visual understanding of how work is progressing and where the bottlenecks are.</p> <p>Team members are now able to focus more of their time on work that is on the critical path.</p>
<p><b>Accommodations</b></p> <p><b>-2 Points</b></p> <p><b>Explanation</b> Even though the team are replacing old practices with new ones, they need to keep performing a lot of the old tasks.</p> <p>But many of the old tasks only there to accommodate the old way of working. A lot of time is being lost.</p>	<p><b>1001 status updates</b></p> <p><b>-2 Points</b></p> <p><b>Explanation</b> The project office want the team to keep reporting along the lines of the “standard” projects in the company.</p> <p>This means that the duplicating all their agile reports and having to spend even more time translating them into “Waterfallian”.</p>	<p><b>Robots turn evil</b></p> <p><b>-2 Points</b></p> <p><b>Explanation</b> The team thought automated testing would help and started using machines to do their testing.</p> <p>But the automated tests are bug ridden and maintaining them is turning out to be harder than manual testing would have been,</p>	<p><b>Too many meetings</b></p> <p><b>-2 Points</b></p> <p><b>Explanation</b> The team were glad to see the end of all the bureaucratic overhead of their old approaches.</p> <p>But that was before they became stuck in 4 hours of meetings every day. Now they can’t get any work done.</p>

<p><b>Test Driven Development</b></p> <p><b>+2 Points</b></p> <p><b>Explanation</b> The developers adopt Test Driven Development. They are writing automated acceptance tests as they develop their code and the team is producing better quality software.</p>	<p><b>Given When Then</b></p> <p><b>+2 Points</b></p> <p><b>Explanation</b> Rather than just provide stories or requirements to the developers, the team are define acceptance tests for each story. The tests are written in the form: GIVEN (an existing state) WHEN (something happens) THEN (we expect this outcome).</p>	<p><b>Continuous Integration</b></p> <p><b>+2 Points</b></p> <p><b>Explanation</b> In the old days the team would wait for weeks before integrating their code. Now they integrate their code as they go and quality is improving all the time.</p>	<p><b>Automated migration</b></p> <p><b>+2 Points</b></p> <p><b>Explanation</b> The team create an automated build to migrate code between environments. As a result they reduce the time and errors involved in migrations.</p>
<p><b>Absentee sponsor</b></p> <p><b>-2 Points</b></p> <p><b>Explanation</b> The sponsor is too busy to spend time understanding the project so the team are making many decisions on the sponsor's behalf.</p>	<p><b>Forgotten infrastructure</b></p> <p><b>-2 Points</b></p> <p><b>Explanation</b> The team assumed that because they are agile, they will be able to order infrastructure in the same iteration they need it. Unfortunately there is a 6 week lead time and they are very disappointed.</p>	<p><b>Forgotten handover</b></p> <p><b>-2 Points</b></p> <p><b>Explanation</b> The team were so busy building new things they forgot about how to support what they are building. Now all they can hand to the production support team is a pile of story cards and a "get well soon" card.</p>	<p><b>Forgotten knowledge</b></p> <p><b>-2 Points</b></p> <p><b>Explanation</b> The team have dispensed with all unnecessary documentation. Unfortunately some of the documentation contained information that is now lost.</p>

<p><b>Agile training</b></p> <p><b>+2 Points</b></p> <p><b>Explanation</b> The team attend agile training courses that give them a more holistic understanding of agile.</p>	<p><b>Testing with the customer</b></p> <p><b>+2 Points</b></p> <p><b>Explanation</b> Frequent interaction with customers is allowing bugs to be uncovered far earlier.</p> <p>A surprising benefit is that the team are also learning which bells and whistles can be left out of the solution.</p>	<p><b>Just the basics</b></p> <p><b>+2 Points</b></p> <p><b>Explanation</b> The increased scrutiny of work as it progresses is encouraging the team to avoid complexity.</p> <p>The team are really focusing on the core components of work rather than the waste that used to occur.</p>	<p><b>Obviously that is not what I meant</b></p> <p><b>+2 Points</b></p> <p><b>Explanation</b> The business are not getting what they asked for anymore, they are getting what they want.</p> <p>Seeing what the team is doing is allowing the customer to identify their assumptions and clarify their real needs..</p>
<p><b>Cowboy fever</b></p> <p><b>-2 Points</b></p> <p><b>Explanation</b> The team see agile as a way to escape all the chains and shackles of the companies procedures so they can do anything they want.</p> <p>Unfortunately it seems the one thing they don't want to do is to build robust code that meets real customer needs.</p>	<p><b>Not my problem</b></p> <p><b>-2 Points</b></p> <p><b>Explanation</b> People mistook shared accountability for "no-accountability".</p> <p>Ambiguity around roles and responsibilities means that nobody is making any of the hard decisions.</p>	<p><b>Scope randomiser</b></p> <p><b>-2 Points</b></p> <p><b>Explanation</b> The business have been liberated from the need to think and plan.</p> <p>Stakeholders can turn up whenever they want and ask for anything. But the team is so busy juggling they are not delivering anything of value.</p>	<p><b>All trees, no forrest</b></p> <p><b>-2 Points</b></p> <p><b>Explanation</b> The team are flat out every week delivering new features.</p> <p>But nobody has the time or opportunity to see the big picture. So the team is travelling in a random direction.</p>

<p><b>All else being equal</b></p> <p><b>+1 point</b></p> <p><b>Explanation</b> The team are able to actively measure their velocity.</p> <p>While the team's measurement is not precise, understanding how much work gets done each week is really helping the team predict how much will get done in the coming weeks.</p>	<p><b>Early failure</b></p> <p><b>+1 point</b></p> <p><b>Explanation</b> The team realise their whole project was a mistake. But rather than being doomed to see it through they abort early.</p> <p>The team can now focus on adding value.</p>	<p><b>Bad estimates</b></p> <p><b>+1 point</b></p> <p><b>Explanation</b> The team's estimates are way out every time they interact with the new "Invergolator".</p> <p>Looking into the errors reveals some hidden issues that the team needs to deal with. The team deal with the issues and find considerable improvements.</p>	<p><b>A problem shared</b></p> <p><b>+1 point</b></p> <p><b>Explanation</b> The team adopt pair programming, which means two people deal with each problem together.</p> <p>The team start doing far better work now that they are always bouncing their ideas off others.</p>
<p><b>No boundaries</b></p> <p><b>-1 point</b></p> <p><b>Explanation</b> The team have been empowered to be agile, but have no scope and no direction.</p> <p>It seems like "agile" really means "having no idea what is expected but needing to do it faster".</p>	<p><b>Micro manager</b></p> <p><b>-1 point</b></p> <p><b>Explanation</b> The agile practices turned out to be no protection from micromanagement.</p> <p>The boss is demanding daily and hourly updates on everything, without sharing what others are up to.</p>	<p><b>Money, what money?</b></p> <p><b>-1 point</b></p> <p><b>Explanation</b> The team are inviting the sponsor to a lot of meetings.</p> <p>But when the sponsor asks for an update on the budget she is told money is not part of the agile vocabulary. Now she is looking a little nervous.</p>	<p><b>Access minefield</b></p> <p><b>-1 point</b></p> <p><b>Explanation</b> In their haste and excitement to deliver business value the team don't have time to build robust databases.</p> <p>But every release seems to cause several existing access databases and macros to explode.</p>

<p><b>Tomorrow is better than yesterday</b></p> <p><b>+1 point</b></p> <p><b>Explanation</b> The team are discovering existing defects and technical debt (unnecessary complexity) in the existing system.</p> <p>Stopping to fix things as they find them is slowing the team down but also making life easier over time.</p>	<p><b>It's a risky business</b></p> <p><b>+1 point</b></p> <p><b>Explanation</b> The team are talking about risk every week, making it easier to monitor and deal with risks as they emerge or recede.</p>	<p><b>The team is too big</b></p> <p><b>+1 point</b></p> <p><b>Explanation</b> The overhead of communicating on an agile project is causing issues ... and the business doesn't have the time to dedicate to so much work.</p> <p>This is forcing the team to really focus on the must haves and to break projects down more.</p>	<p><b>Process integration</b></p> <p><b>+1 point</b></p> <p><b>Explanation</b> Delivering completed features every iteration is allowing the customer to test and understand the process impact.</p> <p>This has led to a lot of small changes in both their processes and the system design.</p>
<p><b>Suspicious pace</b></p> <p><b>-1 point</b></p> <p><b>Explanation</b> The team estimated on what they thought was a sustainable pace.</p> <p>But the deadline got squashed and the team started to get a bit behind.</p> <p>So everyone is working some extra hours until the team catches up. But when will things level out?</p>	<p><b>No time to think</b></p> <p><b>-1 point</b></p> <p><b>Explanation</b> The story wall, the stand-ups and the iteration planning meetings are giving great visibility of what to do next.</p> <p>Unfortunately everyone is so busy as a result that nobody has time to stick their head up to see where the team is heading.</p>	<p><b>Ego factoring</b></p> <p><b>-1 point</b></p> <p><b>Explanation</b> The frequent reviews by both developers and business customers is allowing a lot of feedback, which is leading to rework.</p> <p>But rather than adding value, the changes are really just satisfying the egos of some key players.</p>	<p><b>Panic waterfall</b></p> <p><b>-1 point</b></p> <p><b>Explanation</b> The developers feel that they still need complete specifications to build from,</p> <p>But now the business analysts have only one week to produce every document. They are starting to look frayed and distressed.</p>

<p><b>Old stones resurface</b></p> <p><b>+1 point</b></p> <p><b>Explanation</b> Adopting new approaches has uncovered some existing issues and conflicts.</p> <p>This forces the team to reflect on the issues, resulting in gradual improvements in spite of the frustration.</p>	<p><b>Developer testers</b></p> <p><b>+1 point</b></p> <p><b>Explanation</b> New practices enable the developers to better understand what their code needs to accomplish.</p> <p>In response they are able to better able to test their own code, leading to much better quality.</p>	<p><b>People talk</b></p> <p><b>+1 point</b></p> <p><b>Explanation</b> Agile has resulted in people spending more time talking to each other.</p> <p>The team discover their work was more interconnected than they realised and start to stumble on better ways of working.</p>	<p><b>Agile conference</b></p> <p><b>+1 point</b></p> <p><b>Explanation</b> Some of the team attend an agile conference.</p> <p>The team discuss some new ideas in their next retrospective and find some simple improvements.</p>
<p><b>Unmentionables</b></p> <p><b>-1 point</b></p> <p><b>Explanation</b> The adoption of new practices is exposing existing tensions and issues.</p> <p>Fortunately the team can sweep them under the carpet. Unfortunately doing so is holding them back.</p>	<p><b>Back to the future</b></p> <p><b>-1 point</b></p> <p><b>Explanation</b> Agile is being used as an opportunity for others to roll out their old initiatives again.</p> <p>The team are distracted by having to adopt tools and processes that have very little to do with their own needs.</p>	<p><b>New toys</b></p> <p><b>-1 point</b></p> <p><b>Explanation</b> People are buying lots of new tools to make the team more agile.</p> <p>Unfortunately, the new tools are getting in the way of the team doing their work.</p>	<p><b>Not enough testers</b></p> <p><b>-1 point</b></p> <p><b>Explanation</b> The team are writing lots of good code, but there doesn't seem to be enough time to test it all.</p> <p>They advertise for more agile testers but can't seem to find any.</p>



<p><b>Users are strange beasts</b></p> <p><b>+1 point</b></p> <p><b>Explanation</b> Showing the evolving solution to the users every iteration is leading to a lot of surprises.</p> <p>The users just don't seem to behave the way they were expected to. So the system is evolving to work for the users the way they will really use it.</p>	<p><b>The team is learning</b></p> <p><b>+1 point</b></p> <p><b>Explanation</b> Everyone in the team is the team is learning more about each others' expectations, style and roles.</p> <p>But surprisingly they also seem to be learning more about how to do their own role through the process.</p>	<p><b>The mathematics of traffic lights</b></p> <p><b>+1 point</b></p> <p><b>Explanation</b> Work flows through the team like traffic flows through a city. Some is going fast, some is stuck in traffic and some is completely lost.</p> <p>So the team's increased focus on traffic flows is making everything flow much better.</p>	<p><b>The customer is the constraint</b></p> <p><b>+1 point</b></p> <p><b>Explanation</b> The team would be able to go faster if the paying customer had more time.</p> <p>In other words the team is now going exactly as fast as the customer can manage.</p>
<p><b>Inevitable complexity</b></p> <p><b>-1 point</b></p> <p><b>Explanation</b> As the project continues, the team keeps adding new features.</p> <p>So it seems that work will naturally slow down as the system becomes more complex. But if you think about it, that means tomorrow will be worse than today.</p>	<p><b>Empires in danger</b></p> <p><b>-1 point</b></p> <p><b>Explanation</b> New practices are bringing changes to the organisation and as a result some empires are starting to shrink.</p> <p>So managers are racing to lock down knowledge and to impose additional checks to stop their empires shrinking.</p>	<p><b>Single point standoff</b></p> <p><b>-1 point</b></p> <p><b>Explanation</b> Going agile has highlighted the fact that some key people are the only holders of critical knowledge.</p> <p>But they are feeling threatened by the idea of handing over their knowledge and so they are keeping a tight rein on things to prove how valuable they are.</p>	<p><b>No time for testing</b></p> <p><b>-1 point</b></p> <p><b>Explanation</b> Having to deliver every two weeks means having to retest every two weeks.</p> <p>There simply isn't time to do that in the real world so the team are going faster by not testing. But bad karma is brewing in the evolving system.</p>

<p><b>Motivating astronauts</b></p> <p><b>+1 point</b></p> <p><b>Explanation</b> A lot of companies spend a lot of time worrying about how to motivate people.</p> <p>But when people see the impact of their contribution to an emerging solution they seem to become motivated automatically.</p>	<p><b>Constraints suck</b></p> <p><b>+1 point</b></p> <p><b>Explanation</b> Some really annoying constraints are stopping the team from being more agile... Which means the team is doing the best job possible within those constraints.</p> <p>Now the team can communicate or even deal with the constraints</p>	<p><b>No more agile</b></p> <p><b>+1 point</b></p> <p><b>Explanation</b> The team have gotten using the agile techniques.</p> <p>Strangely though they have stopped talking about how to be more agile. They are now just focusing on how to do things better.</p>	<p><b>Enough is enough</b></p> <p><b>+1 point</b></p> <p><b>Explanation</b> An agile project only delivered around 40% of what it set out to achieve before it got cancelled.</p> <p>The customer is ecstatic because he got the real thing he wanted and is now spending money on his next adventure.</p>
<p><b>Damn the torpedoes</b></p> <p><b>-1 point</b></p> <p><b>Explanation</b> The way the team is working has highlighted a number of issues.</p> <p>But the project is so important that the team decide to ignore the risks and issues and simply steam ahead.</p>	<p><b>Velocity by any measure</b></p> <p><b>-1 point</b></p> <p><b>Explanation</b> The team have confused the measure for the goal.</p> <p>Estimates are corrected and work is adjusted to maintain the target velocity.</p>	<p><b>Not suitable for children</b></p> <p><b>-1 point</b></p> <p><b>Explanation</b> Agile has been implemented in a way that removes the safety net that used to protect junior team members from making mistakes.</p> <p>The team are now working on such critical and urgent work that only the senior team can get the job done.</p>	<p><b>Business too busy</b></p> <p><b>-1 point</b></p> <p><b>Explanation</b> The business stakeholders want to participate but they are too busy at the moment.</p> <p>Fortunately the team can make decisions for the business. Unfortunately the decisions are made in ignorance.</p>

